EPA Official Record

Notes ID: 6AC57BCEB713EFD8852577DD0067178D

From: "Dahlen, Deirdre T" < Dahlen D@BATTELLE. ORG >

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

Copy To: "Dragos, Paul M" <dragosp@BATTELLE.ORG>; "L'Heureux, Paul G NAE" <Paul.G.L'Heureux@usace.army.mil>; "Fitzpatrick, Matthew R" <FitzpatrickM@BATTELLE.ORG>

Delivered Date: 05/26/2009 11:04 AM EDT

Subject: RE: Plume Monitoring Today

Bob/Dave,

The attached communication from Apex confirms that the material disposed Wednesday, May 20 was from Niemiec. Battelle observed this disposal event and collected tox samples (testing in progress).

We understand that dredging will continue this week at Niemiec. Please advise if additional disposal monitoring of Niemiec material is necessary, or should we continue as planned to save one last monitoring day for monitoring the disposal of Packer material?

Deirdre

----Original Message----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 9:24 AM

To: Leitch, Robert A NAE

Cc: Dahlen, Deirdre T; Dragos, Paul M; L'Heureux, Paul G NAE; Leitch, Robert A

NAE

Subject: Re: Plume Monitoring Today

All - this may change our strategy wrt to Packer, i.e. if we have enough funds for another round we should probably use it for Neimeic (for real!!) in case Packer turns out to be a no go. The only thing that might change this is if Wednesday's event was in fact Neimeic.

And we'd have to build in a double check loop to make sure we're doing Neimeic...

Dave

"Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch To @usace.army.mil> Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA 05/21/2009 04:39 "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>, "L'Heureux, Paul G NAE" <Paul.G.L'Heureux@usace.army.mil> , "Dahlen, Deirdre T" <DahlenD@BATTELLE.ORG>, "Paul Dragos" <dragosp@battelle.org> Subject Plume Monitoring Today

Dave:

I just spoke to Deirdre about the plume monitoring today. The good news is

that Battelle was able to monitor the plume and received good signals. The

unsettling news is that the Boat Captain told Paul Dragos that the sediment

did not come from Niemiec. It came from somewhere else. Paul is going to

talk to the Captain later today with map in hand to understand where the material came from. Of course the question of where yesterday's dump sediment came from. The Captain wasn't sure.

Do you know where the dredged material that was dumped today came from? If

your contact is knowledgeable, can you ask them about yesterday's dredged

material as well?

Тx

Bob

Received: from ws-bco-cas2.milky-way.battelle.org (131.167.8.115) by WS-DUX-MBX1.milky-way.battelle.org (131.167.99.15) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 8.1.340.0; Fri, 22 May 2009 11:18:35 -0400 Received: from WS-BCO-SMTP2.battelle.org (131.167.253.84) by

```
ws-bco-cas2.milky-way.battelle.org (131.167.8.115) with Microsoft SMTP Server
id 8.1.340.0; Fri, 22 May 2009 11:18:34 -0400
Received: from WS-BCO-EGW1 (egw1.battelle.org [131.167.253.87]) by
smtp2.BATTELLE.ORG (PMDF V6.2-1w1 #31580) with ESMTP id
<PETD08T35YYG8K03M4@smtp2.BATTELLE.ORG>; Fri, 22 May 2009 11:18:16 -0400
(Eastern Daylight Time)
Received: from cmx2.usace.army.mil ([140.194.245.34]) by battelle.org
([131.167.253.87]) with ESMTP (TREND IMSS SMTP Service 7.0) id 0fe40d0500033ae2
; Fri, 22 May 2009 11:18:09 -0500
Received: from eis-mllitl.eis.ds.usace.army.mil ([140.194.245.33]) by
cmx2.usace.army.mil with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Fri, 22 May 2009
10:18:13 -0500
Received: from NAE-ML1CON.nae.ds.usace.army.mil ([155.78.80.6]) by
eis-mllitl.eis.ds.usace.army.mil with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Fri,
22 May 2009 10:18:13 -0500
From: "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>
CC: "Dragos, Paul M" <dragosp@battelle.org>, "Dahlen, Deirdre T"
<DahlenD@battelle.org>
Date: Fri, 22 May 2009 11:18:08 -0400
Subject: FW: Plume Monitoring Today
Message-ID:
<2376FF5B9C4F5A468AA2C73365E9B8240D110B67@NAE-ML1CON.nae.ds.usace.army.mil>
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Class: urn:content-classes:message
Thread-Topic: Plume Monitoring Today
Thread-Index: Acna3kgEeTa8GRj4RFCPQNj/1Z8CAgACwc0QAAGiYeA=
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthAs: Anonymous
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthSource: ws-bco-cas2.milky-way.battelle.org
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: 0
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
x-originalarrivaltime: 22 May 2009 15:18:13.0041 (UTC)
FILETIME=[85E8EA10:01C9DAF0]
x-tm-as-product-ver: SMEX-8.0.0.1259-5.500.1027-16656.004
x-tm-as-result: No--16.420900-8.000000-31
x-tm-as-user-approved-sender: No
x-tm-as-user-blocked-sender: No
x-tm-as-result-xfilter: Match text exemption rules:No
x-tm-imss-message-id: <0fe40d0500033ae2@battelle.org>
x-imss-scan-details: No--16.421-5.0-31-1
MIME-Version: 1.0
fyi
----Original Message----
From: Chet Myers [mailto:cmyers@apexcos.com]
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 10:38 AM
To: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov; Jay Borkland
Cc: kristin.decas@ci.new-bedford.ma.us; Leitch, Robert A NAE
Subject: RE: Plume Monitoring Today
```

Dave,

Unfortunately, our contractor has been relatively hard to predict with regard to what areas he plans to dredge on any particular day. We will often be told that they are going to dredge in one location, and then they will move to another without telling us. We are trying to keep Battelle in the loop with regard to the location of the contractor. We gave Paul Dragos the dredge captain's phone number, so Battelle could keep in direct contact with him and receive the most updated information directly from the person making those decisions, as they tend to change frequently. It appears that there may have been a miscommunication between Mr. Dragos and the dredge captain.

In this case, Mr. Niemiec asked the contractor to leave his location on Wednesday until early next week due to a backlog of launching at his boat ramp. The contractor moved to the Gifford Street boat ramp location and says that they do not plan to return to Niemiec until Tuesday of next week.

The contractor dredged at Niemiec on Monday and Tuesday, but then moved to the Gifford Street area on Wednesday. As a result, the dump on Wednesday was Niemiec material, but the dump on Thursday was Gifford Street material.

We are sorry for the confusion, and are trying our best to keep Battelle up to date.

Thanks,

Chet Myers, PE, LSP Apex Companies, LLC 115 Broad Street, Suite 200 Boston, MA 02110 O: 617-728-0070 X-113 F: 617-728-0080 C: 617-908-5778

----Original Message----

 $From: \ dickerson. dave @epamail.epa.gov \ [mailto:dickerson.dave @epamail.epa.gov]$

Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 9:08 AM

To: Chet Myers; Jay Borkland

Cc: kristin.decas@ci.new-bedford.ma.us; Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil

Subject: Fw: Plume Monitoring Today

Chet and Jay - can you help us with the question below (which areas were placed into the CAD cell on Wed and Th?? We were hoping to do our plume tracking on the Neimiec material since its close to Superfund dredge areas, but apparently this was not the case.

Dave

---- Forwarded by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US on 05/22/2009 09:04 AM ----

"Leitch, Robert A NAE"

Dave:

I just spoke to Deirdre about the plume monitoring today. The good news is that Battelle was able to monitor the plume and received good signals. The

unsettling news is that the Boat Captain told Paul Dragos that the sediment did not come from Niemiec. It came from somewhere else. Paul is going to talk to the Captain later today with map in hand to understand where the material came from. Of course the question of where yesterday's dump sediment came from. The Captain wasn't sure.

Do you know where the dredged material that was dumped today came from? If your contact is knowledgeable, can you ask them about yesterday's dredged material as well?

Тx

Bob